**OGC Has Reviewed** 

00C - 6219

25X1A9A

From 1 -This Office is of the opinion

that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ claim for per diem
for the period of his TDY in Washington during home leave erromeously
was dismilioued. In OGC opinion 54-3,
dated 10 Sept. 1954, we pointed out
that the unjor consideration in
determining whether an employee had
consummted his PCS transfer was that
of whether he had assumed the duties
of his new station. \_\_\_\_\_\_ informs
us that, during the period of TDY in
question, he performed duties which were
walt not related to his PCS assignment in HQ. This being so, we are

ment of yer diem at a PCS station.

west not related to his PCS assignment in HQ. This being so, we are of the opinion that he may be paid per diem for this period without regard to the rule against the pay-

Her can we agree that Elkin, N.C., correctly was designated as his home Leave point. Place of residence, for purposes of home leave, as elsewhere in the law, is a factor of the eireungtances which make up "residence" in the technical sense. All things considered, we are of the opinion that Hinsdale, Ill., properly may be considered as his residence for the purpose here. Principal emeng our considerations is the one that it is the place at which he, as the principal involved, i.e., the employee, has the greatest mader of personal ties and reacces for going. We therefore believe Mm to be entitled to mil the transportation costs involved insofer as they do not exceed (a) the cost of the travel for binnelf and his dependents from the port-of-entry into the U.S. to Minutale, Ill., and to Hesh. D.C., and (b) the cost of the travel for himself from Elkin, N.C., to D.C., and to Himsele, Ill.

25X1A9A

20 July 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Pinence Division

THAU

· coc

SSA-DD/S

SUBJECT

t Re-claim for Home Leave Travel

25X1A6A

- 2. I have received an administrative audit difference statement (attached hereto) indicating that reimbursement on the travel claim was allowed only to Elkin, North Carolina and that per dism for TOY in Washington, although authorised in my orders, was dismlowed. The reason for disallowance was stated to be that I had "elected" to travel in Elkin and that arrival in Washington represented arrival at a PCS station after home leave. I believe this action was incorrect and due to insufficient information.

25X1A6A

25X1A6A by original travel orders sutherized transportation from to Sen Antonio, Texas for home leave and return to Headquarters for PCS. Travel was performed from to New York, to Elkin, Horth Carolina, to Washington, P. C. for TVT, to Hinsdale, Illinois for home leave and return to Washington. By wife and children accompanied me only as far as Elkin, North Carolina and rejoined me in Hashington after I returned from Hinsdale, Illinois. Since I did not travel to San Antonio as sutherized by my orders, reimburgement for travel must be computed on the basis of constructive cost. To do so a "yardstick" is necessary.

b. The question in determining the "yardstick" appears to be one of residency. For this determination the following is submitted:

(a) San Antonio is my home of record. It is the city in which I maintain bank and security accounts. It is the only place where I have voted or have paid city and state taxes as a resident. Nevertheless at the present time I do not own or maintain real property there nor do I have blood relatives in San Antonio.

- (b) Elkin, North Carolina is the home of my wife's father and mother. This has been their home only since my father-in-law's retirement.
- (c) Hinsdale, Illinois is the home of my mother and sister and her children. They are my closest blood relatives.
- (d) All of my other blood relatives are located in the mid or southwest.
- 5. In view of this information it is obvious that while none of the places listed would fully qualify as a residence, San Antonio comes closest since I maintain certain interests there. This in itself, however, may not be conclusive. Between the other points visited, the major difference lies in the fact that my blood relatives reside in Himsdale; I have none in Elkin. In the Chicago area I have old friends, in Elkin I have acquaintances. Thus it would appear that Himsdale, Illinois would be the logical home leave point if so elected.
- 6. On the basis of the above, it is requested that the claim be approved using the constructive cost of travel from New York to San Antonio, Texas and return or from New York to Hinadale, Illinois and return. In either case the sum claimed amounts to considerably less than the constructive cost.

25X1A9A	

Attachments

Administrative Audit Difference Statement, 1 copy